

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

Some Aspects of Banking Theory. By WILLIAM HOWARD STEINER. New York: W. D. Gray, 1920. Pp. 158. Paper, \$1.30; cloth, \$1.75.

This interesting book considers the place which banking holds in modern economic society. The limitation implied in the title is seen in the omission of full discussion of the reserve problem and the effect of banking upon the movement of general prices. The analysis aims to be functional and to be on what the author calls the "real," not the pecuniary, level.

Banking is considered as a specialized technique dealing with the supply of capital. Its evolution is traced in terms of function. Emphasis is placed on the fact that banking has to do with the production, not merely the circulation, of goods. The author recognizes two pools of capital, the investment and the commercial. The investment pool furnishes permanent capital. In general, those who save this capital and those who use it are separate classes. The commercial pool furnishes circulating capital. This, in general, business men as a class save and lend to themselves. As a by-product of the function of supplying capital, commercial banks furnish currency. The commercial bank in performing the currency function is the recipient of credit.

Commercial banking receives considerably more space than investment banking. Its function is to bridge the time between the sale of goods and the payment of the purchase price. The various forms of loans are considered. The assistance of the bank is traced in the case of a commodity passing through several stages of production. After he has discussed the action of both systems, the author indicates the problems of the control and the interrelation of the two systems.

The work is not controversial in form. However, the author indicates the points in which his theory differs from others and states his reasons for differing. He insists that Taylor has inverted the relationship between loans and deposits. Deposits by business enterprises supply capital against existing loans; deposits are not sustained by new loans. Veblen's loan credit analysis is held to be partial and if applied as a general theory, misleading. The author rejects Anderson's view that commercial loans are non-liquid and inferior, as banking assets, to loans collateralled by securities. He attacks the view held by Hobson, Fisher, Veblen, and others, which he calls the "pawn shop" theory of banking—that the bank coins wealth into purchasing power. Bank reserves are held to operate as a check on the expansion of credit, rather than to be a means of redeeming deposits. The view that "the volume

of deposit credits in existence varies with the amount of unrealized or unfixed claims upon society" (pp. 63-64) is in sharp contrast with the view presented by Phillips in his *Bank Credit*, that deposits are a function of cash. (Phillips is not mentioned.)

Some of those whose theories have been attacked by the author will, no doubt, reply by questioning the validity of the method used by him in avoiding the discussion of the problems in pecuniary terms. It is to be hoped that he plans to give statistical illustration or verification of some of the points wherein he differs from others. His position as assistant director of the Division of Analysis and Research of the Federal Reserve Board ought to provide him with facilities to do this.

Mr. Steiner modestly disclaims much originality. However, he has evidently studied the literature in the field thoroughly and has developed, as the result of that study, a consistent theory of banking which contains a considerable amount that is new.

JAMES D. MAGEE

NEW YORK UNIVERSITY